Subcritical phases of random structures

Sergey Dovgal

Based on joint works with Élie De Panafieu and Vlady Ravelomanana

and including a very recent work of Dimbinaina Ralaivaosaona, Vonjy Rasendrahasina and Stephan Wagner

Université Paris-13

Combinatorics and Interactions Seminar, IHP, 03/03/2020

Outline of the current talk



Part I. Phase transition in a random graph

Random graph *G* with *n* vertices and *m* edges.

• $m = o(n^{1/2})$ isolated vertices and edges

- $m = o(n^{1/2})$ isolated vertices and edges
- $m = n^{1/2} \log n$ isolated vertices and edges and paths of length 2

- $m = o(n^{1/2})$ isolated vertices and edges
- $m = n^{1/2} \log n$ isolated vertices and edges and paths of length 2
- $m = n^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \log n$ components are trees of vertex size
 - $1, 2, \ldots, k+1$, every possible tree appears.

- $m = o(n^{1/2})$ isolated vertices and edges
- $m = n^{1/2} \log n$ isolated vertices and edges and paths of length 2
- $m = n^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \log n$ components are trees of vertex size $1, 2, \dots, k+1$, every possible tree appears.
- *m* = ¹/₂*cn*, *c* < 1 trees and several unicyclic components. Maximal component size O(log *n*)

- $m = o(n^{1/2})$ isolated vertices and edges
- $m = n^{1/2} \log n$ isolated vertices and edges and paths of length 2
- $m = n^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \log n$ components are trees of vertex size 1, 2, ..., k + 1, every possible tree appears.
- *m* = ¹/₂*cn*, *c* < 1 trees and several unicyclic components. Maximal component size O(log *n*)
- ► $m = \frac{1}{2}n$ complex connected components appear (not trees, not unicycles). Maximal component size $\Theta(n^{2/3})$

- $m = o(n^{1/2})$ isolated vertices and edges
- $m = n^{1/2} \log n$ isolated vertices and edges and paths of length 2
- ► m = n^{k-1}/_k log n components are trees of vertex size 1, 2, ..., k + 1, every possible tree appears.
- $m = \frac{1}{2}cn, c < 1 \text{ trees and several unicyclic components.}$
 - Maximal component size $O(\log n)$
- ► $m = \frac{1}{2}n$ complex connected components appear (not trees, not unicycles). Maximal component size $\Theta(n^{2/3})$
- *m* = ¹/₂*cn*, *c* > 1 unique giant component of size Θ(*n*). Second largest component *O*(log *n*)

- $m = o(n^{1/2})$ isolated vertices and edges
- $m = n^{1/2} \log n$ isolated vertices and edges and paths of length 2
- ► m = n^{k-1}/_k log n components are trees of vertex size 1, 2, ..., k + 1, every possible tree appears.
- *m* = ¹/₂*cn*, *c* < 1 trees and several unicyclic components. Maximal component size O(log *n*)
- ► $m = \frac{1}{2}n$ complex connected components appear (not trees, not unicycles). Maximal component size $\Theta(n^{2/3})$
- *m* = ¹/₂*cn*, *c* > 1 unique giant component of size Θ(*n*). Second largest component *O*(log *n*)
- $m = \frac{1}{2}n \log n$ graph becomes connected

Naming the phases

$m = cn, c = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu n^{-1/3})$	
very subcritical	$c \leqslant \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$
subcritical	$\mu \to -\infty$
critical	$\mu \in \mathbb{R}$
supercritical	$\mu \to +\infty$
very supercritical	$c \geqslant \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$

Subcritical phase: a good starting point to understand other combinatorial structures.

Simplest phase transition ever

Theorem *Let* c > 0. *As* $n \to \infty$,

$$c^n \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0, & c < 1 - \epsilon; \\ e^x, & c = 1 + \frac{x}{n}; \\ \infty, & c > 1 + \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Critical window: $c = 1 + \frac{x}{n}, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Bonus: asymptotic behaviour when $x = x(n), x \to \infty$?

Phase transition in random graphs

In this talk, all the objects are labelled.

Theorem

Consider a random graph G with n vertices and m edges

m = cn

Then, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}(G \text{ consists of trees and unicycles}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1, & c < \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon; \\ f(\mu), & c = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu n^{-1/3}); \\ 0, & c > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Phase transition in random graphs

Theorem

Consider a random graph G with n vertices and m edges

m = cn

Then, as $n \to \infty$,

 $\mathbb{P}(G \text{ consists of trees and unicycles}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1, & c < \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon; \\ f(\mu), & c = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu n^{-1/3}); \\ 0, & c > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon. \end{cases}$ Proof.

- ► Let *T*(*z*, *w*) and *U*(*z*, *w*) be the EGF of unrooted trees and unicycles.
- ► Compute n![zⁿw^m]e^{T(z,w)+U(z,w)} using saddle point method and divide by the total number of graphs.

Going into more details about $f(\mu)$

$$\mathbb{P}(G \text{ consists of trees and unicycles}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1, & c < \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon; \\ f(\mu), & c = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu n^{-1/3}); \\ 0, & c > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

 $f(\mu)$ is completely known ([Flajolet, Janson, Knuth, Luczak, Pittel]):

$$f(\mu) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} e^{-\mu^3/6} \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}3^{2/3}\mu\right)^k}{k!\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}k\right)}$$

Asymptotics at the tails is also **known**:

$$f(\mu) \sim \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{5}{24|\mu|^3}, & \mu \to -\infty; \\ \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})} \frac{e^{-\mu^3/6}}{2^{1/4}\mu^{3/4}}, & \mu \to +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Going further down the rabbit hole about $f(\mu)$

When $\mu \to -\infty$,

$$f(\mu) \sim 1 - \frac{5}{24|\mu|^3}, \quad \mu \to -\infty.$$

Question. What is so special about $\frac{5}{24}$?

When $\mu \to +\infty$, only when $|\mu| \ll n^{1/12}$,

$$f(\mu) \sim rac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(rac{1}{4})} rac{e^{-\mu^3/6}}{2^{1/4}\mu^{3/4}}, \quad \mu \to +\infty$$

Question. What is so special about $n^{1/12}$?

Heuristical explanation of $\frac{5}{24}$

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{only trees and unicycles}) \sim 1 - \frac{5}{24|\mu|^3}, \quad \mu \to -\infty.$$

The first non-unicyclic components appearing are connected graphs with 2 cycles. Cubic multigraphs with 2 vertices with weights:



The sum of the "compensation factors"

$$\frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6}\right) = \frac{5}{24}$$

Learning more about $\frac{5}{24}$

The (weighted) number of cubic multigraphs with 2r vertices and 3r edges is

$$e_r = \frac{(6r)!}{(2r)!(3r)!2^{5r}3^{2r}}, \quad e_1 = \frac{5}{24}$$

Theorem As $m = \frac{1}{2}n, n \to \infty$,

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{complex component has excess } r) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \cdot \frac{e_r}{3^r \Gamma(r+\frac{1}{2})}.$

Moral. Subcritical phase is helpful for understanding of the combinatorics inside the window.

Heuristical explanation of $|\mu| \ll n^{1/12}$

As
$$m = \frac{n}{2}(1 + \mu n^{-1/3})$$
,

 $\mathbb{P}(G \text{ consists of trees and unicycles}) = f(\mu) + O(\mu^4 n^{-1/3})$

the error term $O(\mu^4 n^{-1/3})$ corresponding to non-cubic kernels.



When $\mu = \Theta(n^{1/12})$, kernels with degrees ≥ 4 appear with positive probability.

Part II. Beyond random graphs

Graph-like combinatorial structures

Graphs with degree constraints



- Acyclic digraphs
- Digraphs

Graphs with allowed degrees from a given set

Graphs with degree constraints

[De Panafieu, Ramos], [D., Ravelomanana]

Allowed degrees: $\Delta = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5, \ldots\}, \quad \delta_1 = 1 \text{ or } \delta_2 = 1.$



Theorem (D., Ravelomanana '2018) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, for m = cn,

 $\mathbb{P}(G_{\Delta} \text{ consists of trees and unicycles}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1, & c < \alpha_{\Delta} - \epsilon; \\ f_{\Delta}(\mu), & c = \alpha_{\Delta}(1 + \mu n^{-1/3}); \\ 0, & c > \alpha_{\Delta} + \epsilon. \end{cases}$

 $f_{\Delta}(\mu) = f(C_{\Delta}\mu)$, where α_{Δ} and C_{Δ} are explicit.

Graphs with degree constraints

[De Panafieu, Ramos], [D., Ravelomanana]

Theorem (D., Ravelomanana '2018) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, for m = cn,

 $\mathbb{P}(G_{\Delta} \text{ consists of trees and unicycles}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1, & c < \alpha_{\Delta} - \epsilon; \\ f_{\Delta}(\mu), & c = \alpha_{\Delta}(1 + \mu n^{-1/3}); \\ 0, & c > \alpha_{\Delta} + \epsilon. \end{cases}$

 $f_{\Delta}(\mu) = f(C_{\Delta}\mu)$, where α_{Δ} and C_{Δ} are explicit. Proof.

- ► Let $T_{\Delta}(z, w)$ and $U_{\Delta}(z, w)$ be the EGF of unrooted trees and unicycles with degree constraints.
- Compute n![zⁿw^m]e^{T_∆(z,w)+U_∆(z,w)} and divide by total number of graphs (given by [De Panafieu, Ramos])

Subcritical phase of 2-SAT

2-SAT: Some background

Theorem (Bollobas, Borgs, Chayes, Kim, Wilson '2001) Consider a random 2-CNF $F_{n,m}$ with n variables and m = cn clauses.

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{n,m} \text{ is SAT}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 - \Theta(|\mu|^{-3}), & \mu \to -\infty;\\ \Theta(1), & c = 1 + \mu n^{-1/3};\\ \exp(-\Theta(|\mu|^3)), & \mu \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Kim '2008)

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{n,m} \text{ is SAT}) \sim 1 - \frac{1}{16|\mu|^3}, \quad \mu \to -\infty.$$

Question. Where does $\frac{1}{16}$ come from? **Question.** What is the rôle of the cubic kernels?

Analytic combinatorics \heartsuit 2-SAT

- A 2-CNF is represented as an *implication digraph*.
- Formula is UNSAT if and only if $\exists x: x \rightsquigarrow \overline{x} \rightsquigarrow x$.

Major obstacle. No "tree-unicycle"-style decomposition available. Contradictory components are not disconnected.

First idea. Inclusion-exclusion using contradictory patterns



Understanding $\frac{1}{16}$ and cubic kernels of 2-SAT

Theorem (D. '2019)

As m = cn, $c = 1 + \mu n^{-1/3}$, $\mu \to -\infty$ slowly enough, then cubic contradictory kernel of excess r appear with probability $C_r |\mu|^{-3r}$.

C_r is equal to the sum ∑_M 2^{-r} ≈(M)/(2r)! taken over all possible labelled cubic contradictory components of excess r;

$$\blacktriangleright \varkappa \left(\underbrace{1}_{x} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \text{ because of two double edges } x \to \overline{x}, y \to \overline{y}.$$

Corollary

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{n,m} \text{ is SAT}) \sim 1 - \frac{1}{16|\mu|^3}$$

Open problem. $\mathbb{P}(F_{n,m} \text{ is SAT}) = ? \text{ when } \mu \in \mathbb{R}$

A systematic approach to inclusion-exclusion

The philosophy of Analytic Combinatorics

Analytic Combinatorics = Symbolic Method + Asymptotic Analysis

The philosophy of the symbolic method. (Bergeron, Labelle, Leroux).

Combinatorial decomposition \Rightarrow

Functional equation

Follow-up: asymptotic analysis. (Flajolet, Odlyzko, ...).

Equation
$$\Rightarrow$$
GF expansion \Rightarrow Asymptotics

Classical Inclusion-Exclusion principle

Additional variables mark special vertices or groups of vertices

$$A(z, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n,k,r} a_{n,k,r} \mathbf{w}^k \mathbf{u}^r \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

$$a_{n,k,r} = \#_{of}$$
 graphs with

- n vertices
- ► *k* edges
- r isolated vertices

$$B(z, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) := A(z, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u} + 1) = \sum_{n,k,r} b_{n,k,r} \mathbf{w}^k \mathbf{u}^r \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

n vertices

 $b_{n,k,r} = \#_{of}$ graphs with

- ► *k* edges
- r distinguished isolated vertices

Graphic convolution rule

Digraph enumeration theorem and its applications

Enumerating digraphs with given SCC

Theorem (Robinson '1973; De Panafieu, D. '2019)

Graphic GF for digraphs with strongly connected components from given family SCC is given by

$$\widehat{D}(z,w) = \frac{1}{e^{-SCC(z,w)} \odot MG(z,-w)}$$

where

- ► MG(z, w) is the EGF of multigraphs;
- \blacktriangleright \odot is the exponential Hadamard product

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n \frac{z^n}{n!} \odot \sum_{n\geq 0} b_n \frac{z^n}{n!} := \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n b_n \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

• Graphic GF is defined by

$$\widehat{F}(z,w) = \sum_{n \ge 0} f_n(w) \frac{z^n}{e^{\frac{n^2}{2}w}n}$$

Two main applications of enumeration theorem

Corollary Graphic GF for acyclic digraphs is

$$\widehat{DAG}(z,w) = \frac{1}{MG(-z,-w)}$$

Corollary

Graphic GF for elementary digraphs (strong components are only isolated vertices and cycles) is

$$\widehat{S}(z, w) = \frac{1}{MG(-z, -w) + zw\partial_z MG(-z, -w)}$$

Asymptotics of directed acyclic graphs

Asymptotics of directed acyclic graphs

Historical overview:

• When $m = cn^2$, [Bender, Richmond, Robinson, Wormald '1984]

Theorem ([Ralaivaosaona, Rasendrahasina, Wagner '20+], [DP., D. '20+]) As $m = cn, c < 1, n \rightarrow \infty$

 $\mathbb{P}(\textit{digraph is acyclic}) \rightarrow 1 - c$

Question. What happens when c = 1?

Transition window. $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Answered by Ralaivaosaona, Rasendrahasina, and Wagner: see AofA'2020!

Subcritical phase of DAG enumeration

Theorem (De Panafieu, D. FPSAC'2020) As $m = n(1 + \mu n^{-1/3})$, $\mu \to -\infty$ sufficiently slowly,

$$\mathbb{P}(D_{n,m} \text{ is acyclic}) \sim |\mu| n^{-1/3} \cdot \sum_{r \geqslant 0} rac{C_r}{|\mu|^{3r}}$$

Proof.

- Graphic GF for *acyclic digraphs* is $\frac{1}{MG(-z, -w)}$
- Write MG(z, w) in the product form (trees, unicycles and complex components)
- Convert graphic GF into EGF + additional combinatorial magic

Critical phase of DAG enumeration

Theorem (De Panafieu, D. FPSAC'2020) As $m = n(1 + \mu n^{-1/3}), \mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$#DAG_{n,m} \sim \frac{n!^2 m!}{(2n-m)!} \frac{e^{2n}}{4} \left(\frac{3}{n}\right)^{4/3} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} e^{\mu^3/3} \Big(H(y) \odot_{y=\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{E(y)} \Big),$$

where

•
$$E(z) := \sum_{r \ge 0} \frac{(6r)!}{(2r)!(3r)!2^{5r}3^{2r}} z^r$$

• $H(z) := \sum_{r \ge 0} G\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3r}{2} - \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3^{2/3}}{2}\mu\right) z^r$,
• $G(\lambda, \alpha, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + \alpha - k - 1}{\lambda}\right)}, \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{``Airy function''}$
• $\left(\sum a_n \frac{z^n}{n!}\right) \odot_{z=x} \left(\sum b_n \frac{z^n}{n!}\right) := \sum a_n b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.$

Critical phase of DAG enumeration

As
$$m = n(1 + \mu n^{-1/3}), \mu \in \mathbb{R},$$

#DAG_{n,m} $\sim \frac{n!^2 m!}{(2n-m)!} \frac{e^{2n}}{4} \left(\frac{3}{n}\right)^{4/3} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} e^{\mu^3/3} \Big(H(y) \odot_{y=\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{E(y)} \Big),$

Theorem (Ralaivaosaona, Rasendrahasina, Wagner '2020) In the $D_{n,p}$ model, the Hadamard product $\left(H(y) \odot_{y=\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{E(y)}\right)$ can be replaced by an integral of the reciprocal Airy function

$$\frac{C}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu s}}{\operatorname{Ai}(-2^{1/3}s)} ds$$

Phase transition in directed graphs

Directed graphs

Historical overview:

- ► Strong components are only isolated vertices and cycles below $m = n(1 + \mu n^{-1/3})$ [Łuczak, Seierstad '09]
- Strong components have cubic kernels (for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$) [Goldschmidt, Stephenson '19]

Theorem (De Panafieu, D. '2020) As $m = n(1 + \mu n^{-1/3}), \mu \to -\infty,$ $\mathbb{P}(\frac{\text{strong components of } D_{n,m}}{\text{are isolated vertices and cycles}}) \sim 1 - \frac{1}{2|\mu|^3}.$

Proof.

- Transform the graphic GF of subcritical digraphs
- Repeat the idea of the previous proof

Critical phase of digraph enumeration

Definition. *Elementary digraph* contains only cycles and isolated vertices as strong components.

Theorem (De Panafieu, D. '2020+) As $m = n(1 + \mu n^{-1/3}), \mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(D_{n,m} \text{ is elementary}) \sim e^{-\mu^3/6} \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{2}} \Big(H(y) \odot_{y=\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\frac{y}{2} + E(y) + 3y^2 E'(y)} \Big),$$

where

•
$$E(z) := \sum_{r \ge 0} \frac{(6r)!}{(2r)!(3r)!2^{5r}3^{2r}} z^r$$

• $H(z) := \sum_{r \ge 0} G\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3r}{2} - \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3^{2/3}}{2}\mu\right) z^r,$
• $G(\lambda, \alpha, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(-\mathbf{x})^k}{k!} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + \alpha - k - 1}{\lambda}\right)}.$ \leftarrow "Airy function"

Conclusion

Conclusion

- 1. Cubic kernels and their (rational) "compensation factors" play central rôle in the phase transitions of
 - graphs (with or w/o degree constraints)
 - 2-SAT
 - digraphs and acyclic digraphs
- 2. Most easily seen as expansions in powers of $|\mu|^{-3}$ for the subcritical phase $\mu \to -\infty$.
- 3. Transition curves when $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. (in progress for 2-SAT)

Conclusion

- 1. Cubic kernels and their (rational) "compensation factors" play central rôle in the phase transitions of
 - graphs (with or w/o degree constraints)
 - 2-SAT
 - digraphs and acyclic digraphs
- 2. Most easily seen as expansions in powers of $|\mu|^{-3}$ for the subcritical phase $\mu \to -\infty$.
- 3. Transition curves when $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. (in progress for 2-SAT)

Thank you.